

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/701,433	11/29/2000	Kuniyuki Kajita	L9289.00121	9782
7590 11/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
Suite 850 1615 L Street N	ïW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			2133	
			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/701,433	KAJITA, KUNIYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phung My Chung	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	8 October 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,19-25 and 31-44</u> is/are pendudah (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with (5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13,19-25 and 31-44</u> is/are rejection is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		.^				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority docum 2. □ Certified copies of the priority docum 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) 'Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/701,433 Page 2

Art Unit: 2133

1. Responsive to communication filed on 10/28/04. This office action is made non-final because of the new found prior arts, and the finality of the office action of 10/6/04 is hereby withdrawn.

- Drawings (Dated on 11/29/00):
 Figures 1(A), 1(B) and 2 should be labeled with –Prior Art—(For example: Fig. 1(A) should be changed to –Fig. 1(A) Prior Art--). A correction is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11, 13, 19, 21-22, 36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53) in view of Frenger et al ("Rate Matching in Multi-channel Systems using RCPC-Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 35357).

As per claims 11, 13, 21-22, 36 and 38, Wang discloses the invention substantially as claimed, comprising: an interleaver that performs interleaving of input data including a plurality of bits;

A rate matcher comprises a repeater for repeating a part of the bits interleaved by the interleaver. (See pg. 51, section 4. Repetitive Turbo Code). Wang does not disclose a puncturer to puncture a part of the bits interleaved by the interleaver. However, Frenger et al disclose a rate matching comprises a repeater and a puncturer, wherein the rate matcher alternatively selects between (i) the repeater and (ii)

puncturer. (See Abstract, pg. 354, col. 2, section II. RCPC-Codes for Rate Matching). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the rate matcher including a repeater and a puncturer, wherein the rate matcher alternatively selects between (i) the repeater and (ii) puncturer as taught by Frenger et al into the invention of Wang to provide a larger span of available channel coding rates, a flexible coding scheme suitable for future mobile radio communication systems.

As per claim 19, this method claim is also rejected under the same rationale as set forth in system claim 1.

5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53) in view of Frenger et al ("Rate Matching in Multi-channel Systems using RCPC-Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 35357) as applied to claims 11 and 13 above, and further in view of Chen et al (6,199,186).

As per claim 12, the teaching of Wang and Frenger et al had been discussed above. They do not specifically disclose a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data. However, Chen et al disclose a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data. (See Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 6-24, and col. 9, lines 57-59).

Art Unit: 2133

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data as taught by Chen et al into the invention of Wang and Frenger et al so that it would be able to further examine, or screen, the sequence that were found to satisfy an error code but which may contain an error undetected by either an error correction code, an error detection code or a combined error correction/detection code.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 23, 25, 31, 33-34, 41 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53).

As per claims 23, 25, 33-34, 41 and 43, Wang discloses the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

An interleaver that performs interleaving of input data including a plurality of bits; and

A rate matcher that repeats a part of bits interleaved by the interleaver. (See pg. 51, col. 1, lines 1-41.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2133

As per claim 31, this method claim is also rejected under the same rationale as set forth in system claim 23.

8. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53) in view of Chen et al (6,199,186).

As per claim 24, the teaching of Wang has been discussed in claim 23, Wang does not specifically disclose a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data. However, Chen et al disclose a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data. (See Fig. 1, col. 4, lines 6-24, and col. 9, lines 57-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate a coder that performs error correction coding of the input data to provide error correction coded data, wherein, after the error correction coding by the coder, the interleaver performs the interleaving of the error correction coded data as taught by Chen et al into the invention of Wang so that it would be able to further examine, or screen, the sequence that were found to satisfy an error code but which may contain an error undetected by either an error correction code, an error detection code or a combined error correction/detection code.

9. Claims 20, 35, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53) in view of Frenger et al ("Rate Matching in Multichannel Systems using RCPC-Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 35357) as applied to claims 11, 19 and 22 above, and further in view of the applicant's admitted prior art.

The teaching of Wang and Frenger et al had been discussed above. They do not disclose the steps of:

Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher. However, the admitted prior art does teach the steps of Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher. (See Pgs 1-4 and Figs. 1(A) and 1(B)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the steps of: Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Art Unit: 2133

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher as taught by the admitted prior art into the invention of Wang and Frenger et al in order to adjust coded data to frame length.

10. Claims 32, 40, 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang ("Asymptotic Performances of Non-repetitive and repetitive Turbo Codes" 1997, IEEE, pgs. 48-53) as applied to claims 23, 31 and 34 above, and further in view of the applicant's admitted prior art.

The teaching of Wang has been discussed above, Wang does not disclose the steps of: Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher. However, the admitted prior art does teach the steps of Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher. (See Pgs 1-4 and Figs. 1(A) and 1(B)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the steps of: Employing a second rate matcher that comprises a second repeater and a second puncturer to alternatively select between second repeater and second puncturer...; and

Art Unit: 2133

Performing deinterleaving of data including bits provided by the second rate matcher as taught by the admitted prior art into the invention of Wang in order to adjust coded data to frame length.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on Monday to Friday and the telephone number is 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung My Chung

Primary Palent Examiner

Technology 2100